

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 098698

50

ORIGIN AF-02

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 SSO-00 IO-02 /005 R

66605

DRAFTED BY: S/ S- O: KKURZE

APPROVED BY: S/ S- O: KKURZE

AF/ RA: PCWALKER

----- 013168

O 231629 Z MAY 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO ALL AFRICAN DIPLOMATIC POSTS IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY BANJUL BY POUCH

BATHURST BY POUCH

XMT AMEMBASSY BANJUL

AMEMBASSY BUJUMBURA

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 098698

ATTN: COMMUNICATION OFFICERS REPEAT OF USUN 1942 WAS IN ERRO R.

FOLLOWING SENT ACTION SECSTATE INFO LONDON, LISBON, PARIS
FROM USUN MAY 22 REPEATED YOU:

QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 1941

DEPT PLS PASS TO ALL AFRICAN POSTS

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: UNSC, SR

SUBJ: SR IN SC: SECOND SPECIAL REPORT

REF : USUN 1899

1. SC MET MAY 22 AND VOTED ON TWO DRAFT RESES TABLED MAY 17
VOTE ON DRAFT RES APPROVING REPORT OF SANCTIONS COMITE WAS
12-0-3 (US, UK, FRANCE). RES CALLING FOR EXTENSION OF SANCTIONS
WAS REJECTED BY VOTE OF 11-2 (US, UK)-2 (AUSTRIA, FRANCE).

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 098698

SCALI' S EXPLANATION OF VOTE BEING SENT SEPTEL.

2. PRIOR TO MEETING OF SC, SC PRES HELD CONSULTATIONS WITH NON- PERMS AND PERMS REGARDING TWO DRAFT RESES. PRIOR TO MEETING OF SC PRES WITH WESTERN PERMANENT MEMBERS, MEETING WAS HELD WITH NON- PERMS IN WHICH DISCUSSION REVOLVED AROUND REACTION OF INT' L PUBLIC OPINION TO POSSIBLE USE OF VETO ON RES EXTENDING SANCTIONS. SOME NOISES WERE MADE TO TRY TO AVOID PRESSING THIS RES TO VOTE, BUT AF' S APPARENTLY INSISTED THAT BOTH RESES HAD TO BE PRESSED TO VOTE.

3. AFTER CONSULTATIONS WITH NON- PERMS, THREE WESTERN PERM MEMBERS MET WITH SC PRES AND OTHER AF MEMBERS OF SC. AT OUTSET SC PRES SAID THAT IN PRIOR CONSULTATIONS DISCUSSION REVOLVED AROUND POSSIBILITY OF PUTTING BOTH RESES TOGETHER IN ONE RES. IT DECIDED, HOWEVER, THAT CONSULTATIONS SHOULD TAKE PLACE BEFORE MAKING SUCH A DECISION. ODERO- JOWI (KENYA) ASKED FOR VIEWS REGARDING BOTH RESES. UK STATED THAT RES APPROVING REPORT WENT BEYOND SECTION III OF REPORT AND THAT, CONSEQUENTLY, IT PRESENTED DIFFICULTIES. IN ADDITION, CERTAIN PARAS, PARTICULARLY PARAS 4 D, 5, 6 AND 7 APPEARED TO REQUIRE NEW LEGISLATION WHICH UK COULD NOT MANAGE. CONSEQUENTLY, UK WOULD ABSTAIN. REGARDING SECOND RES, CROWE (UK) SAID THAT IT RAISED EXTREMELY FAR- REACHING ISSUES AND PROVIDED FOR POSSIBILITY OF ECONOMIC WARFARE IN SOUTHERN AFRICA. RES REQUIRED GREATER THOUGHT AND HE SUGGESTED THAT QUESTION BE TAKEN UP AGAIN IN SANCTIONS COMMITTEE.

4. SCALI SAID THAT US WOULD ABSTAIN ON FIRST RES DEALING WITH APPROVAL OF REPORT FOR REASONS SIMILAR TO UK. HE ALSO POINTED OUT THAT DOMESTIC LEGISLATION AND PRACTICAL PROBLEMS EXISTED FOR US AND THAT RES CONTAINED POINTS UNAGREED IN SANCTIONS COMMITTEE. HE NOTED, HOWEVER, THAT AGREED RECOMMENDATIONS COULD CONTRIBUTE TOWARD MAKING SANCTIONS MORE EFFECTIVE. REGARDING SECOND RES, HE NOTED THAT SANCTIONS COMITE HAD WORKED FOUR MONTHS ON POINTS CONTAINED IN RES AND WAS NOT ABLE TO REACH AGREEMENT. HE DOUBTED WHETHER CONCENTRATED DISCUSSION OVER SHORT PERIOD OF TIME WOULD PROVIDE ANY SOLUTION. HE ALSO SUGGESTED MATTER BE TAKEN UP AGAIN BY SANCTIONS COMITE.

5. LECOMPT (FRANCE) SAID HIS DEL WOULD ABSTAIN ON BOTH RESES AND NOTED THAT FIRST RES CONTAINED POINTS ON WHICH AGREEMENT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 098698

HAD NOT BEEN REACHED AND INCLUDED NEW PROBLEMS. REGARDING SECOND RES, HE WAS ALSO DUBIOUS ABOUT PRACTICAL POSSIBILITY OF IMPROVING IT.

6 . ODERO- JOWI THEN SAID THAT IT WAS WASTE OF TIME TO REFER MATTER BACK TO SANCTIONS COMITE AND EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN OVER

GENERAL OPPOSITION TO SECOND RES. HE ASKED WHETHER ANY PROPOSALS WOULD BE FORTHCOMING TO AMELIORATE TEXT. CROWE REPLIED THAT WHOLE RES COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE AND REFERRED TO OBJECTIONS HIS DELEGATION HAD MADE IN SANCTIONS COMITE.

7. AFTER MEETING WITH WE PERM MEMBERS, AF' S THEN MET WITH SOVS AND CHINESE. COMMENTS DURING SC CONCERNING US- UK VETO BEFORE AND AFTER VOTE CONTAINED IN SEPTEL.
SCALI

UNQUOTE RUSH

CONFIDENTIAL
NMAFVVZCZ

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a
*** Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 23 MAY 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE098698
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: O: KKURZE
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: RR
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: SECSTATE WASHDC
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730552/aaaajpsz.tel
Line Count: 128
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN AF
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 USUN 1899
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 20 SEP 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20-Sep-2001 by martinml>; APPROVED <26 FEB 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> gwr 980227
Subject: SR IN SC: SECOND SPECIAL REPORT
TAGS: PFOR, SR, UNSC
To: N/A
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005